



## Problem of the Month

### Solution to Problem 7: Smooth lists

April 2025

1. The list  $(1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$  is not smooth. To see this, we apply  $f$  fifteen times. Setting  $L = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} f(L) & = & (0, 1, 0, 0, 1) & f^2(L) & = & (1, 1, 0, 1, 1) & f^3(L) & = & (0, 1, 1, 0, 0) \\ f^4(L) & = & (1, 0, 1, 0, 0) & f^5(L) & = & (1, 1, 1, 0, 1) & f^6(L) & = & (0, 0, 1, 1, 0) \\ f^7(L) & = & (0, 1, 0, 1, 0) & f^8(L) & = & (1, 1, 1, 1, 0) & f^9(L) & = & (0, 0, 0, 1, 1) \\ f^{10}(L) & = & (0, 0, 1, 0, 1) & f^{11}(L) & = & (0, 1, 1, 1, 1) & f^{12}(L) & = & (1, 0, 0, 0, 1) \\ f^{13}(L) & = & (1, 0, 0, 1, 0) & f^{14}(L) & = & (1, 0, 1, 1, 1) & f^{15}(L) & = & (1, 1, 0, 0, 0) \end{array}$$

and so  $f^{15}(1, 1, 0, 0, 0) = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0)$ . This means no matter how many times  $f$  is applied, the list of lists above will repeat every fifteen applications, so we will never arrive at the list of five 0s.

The list  $(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  is not smooth. Setting  $L = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} f(L) & = & (0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) & f^2(L) & = & (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1) \\ f^3(L) & = & (0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0) & f^4(L) & = & (1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0) \\ f^5(L) & = & (0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1) & f^6(L) & = & (1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\ f^7(L) & = & (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) \end{array}$$

which means  $f^7(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) = (1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ . As with the previous example, applying  $f$  repeatedly to  $(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  will yield the original list every seven applications and no list of 0s in this list of lists. Thus, the list of seven 0s will never appear, so  $(1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$  is not smooth.

2. There are two important observations to make from part (a).

**Observation 1:** It appears that if every integer in a list  $L$  is either 0 or 1, then every integer in  $f(L)$  is also either 0 or 1. Indeed, if every integer in  $L$  is either 0 or 1, then every integer in  $f(L)$  is one of  $|0 - 1|$ ,  $|1 - 0|$ ,  $|0 - 0|$ , or  $|1 - 1|$ , which all simplify to either 0 or 1. We will use this observation in later parts of this problem as well.

**Observation 2:** If  $L$  is a list in which every integer is equal to either 0 or 1, then it appears that  $f(L)$  has an even number of integers equal to 1.

Let us verify the second observation. We suppose  $L = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is such that  $a_k = 0$  or  $a_k = 1$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The number of entries equal to 1 in the list  $f(L)$  is equal to the number of times that the sequence

$$a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_{n-1}, a_n, a_1$$

changes value. For example, if  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6) = (0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1)$ , then the sequence above changes value going from  $a_2$  to  $a_3$ ,  $a_4$  to  $a_5$ ,  $a_5$  to  $a_6$ , and  $a_6$  back to  $a_1$ . If the sequence above changed value an odd number of times, then its first and last integers would be different. However, the sequence starts and ends with  $a_1$ , so it must change value

an even (possibly zero) number of times. Hence,  $f(L)$  has an even number of integers equal to 1.

We now suppose  $n$  is odd with  $n \geq 3$  and that  $L = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is a list of nonnegative integers having the following three properties:

- (i) For every  $k$  in  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , either  $a_k = 0$  or  $a_k = 1$ .
- (ii) There are an even number of indices  $k$  with  $a_k = 1$ .
- (iii)  $a_k = 1$  for at least one  $k$ .

We will show that  $f(L) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  also satisfies properties (i), (ii), and (iii) (with “ $a$ ” replaced by “ $b$ ”).

Since  $L$  satisfies property (i), Observation 1 and Observation 2 imply that  $f(L)$  satisfies properties (i) and (ii). To see that  $f(L)$  satisfies property (iii), first observe that  $n$  is odd, so properties (i) and (ii) of  $L$  imply that there are an odd number of  $k$  for which  $a_k = 0$ . In particular, this means the number of  $k$  for which  $a_k = 0$  is not 0, so there is at least one integer in  $L$  that is equal to 0. Since  $L$  has at least one 0 and at least one 1 (by property (iii) of  $L$ ), it must change values at some point. This will give rise to at least one 1 in  $f(L)$ . Therefore,  $f(L)$  satisfies condition (iii).

Let  $n$  be an odd positive integer and consider the list  $L = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  where  $a_1 = a_2 = 1$  and  $a_k = 0$  for  $k \geq 3$ . Then  $L$  has properties (i), (ii), and (iii). Therefore, by the above reasoning,  $f(L)$  has properties (i), (ii), and (iii). In turn, this implies  $f^2(L)$  has properties (i), (ii), (iii), and so on. That is,  $f^m(L)$  has properties (i), (ii), and (iii) for all  $m \geq 0$ . Property (iii) ensures that  $f^m(L)$  has at least one integer that does not equal 0, so this means  $f^m(L)$  is not the list of 0s for any  $m$ . Therefore,  $L$  is not smooth.

3. We will show that a list  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth exactly when  $a = b = c$ . Since each of  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  is between 1 and 100 inclusive, this will give a total of 100 smooth lists.

Notice that if  $a = b = c$ , then  $f(a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0)$ , so  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth. What needs to be verified is that if  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth, then  $a = b = c$ .

To start, we will establish a seemingly much less ambitious claim:

**Fact 1:** If  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth, then  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  have the same *parity*. That is,  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are either all even or all odd. (The parity of a number refers to whether it is even or odd.)

To establish this claim, we will assume that  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  do not all have the same parity and deduce that  $(a, b, c)$  is not smooth.

Suppose  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are nonnegative integers at least one of which is even and at least one of which is odd. Consider the list

$$f(a, b, c) = (|a - b|, |b - c|, |c - a|).$$

Since there are three integers in the list  $(a, b, c)$ , at least two of  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  must have the same parity (are both even or both odd). This means at least one of the integers  $|a - b|$ ,  $|b - c|$ , or  $|c - a|$  is even. On the other hand, we are assuming at least two of  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  have different parity (one is even and one is odd), so this means  $f(a, b, c)$  has at least one odd integer. Applying this reasoning repeatedly, it follows that if  $(a, b, c)$  has at least

one even integer and at least one odd integer, then  $f^m(a, b, c)$  has this property for every  $m \geq 1$ . This means  $f^m(a, b, c)$  is never equal to  $(0, 0, 0)$ , so  $(a, b, c)$  cannot be smooth.

We now know that if  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth, then  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  have the same parity. Since the difference between two integers of the same parity is even, this actually implies that if  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth, then the integers in  $f(a, b, c)$  are all even. This will be important in finishing the argument, but we also need the following fact that allows for a sort of “reduction” in a smooth list having a common factor among its integers.

**Fact 2:** Suppose  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are nonnegative integers with a common factor  $r > 0$ . Then the list  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is smooth if and only if the list  $\left(\frac{a_1}{r}, \frac{a_2}{r}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{r}\right)$  is smooth.

Suppose  $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ . By the definition of  $f$ , this means

$$(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = (|a_1 - a_2|, |a_2 - a_3|, \dots, |a_n - a_1|)$$

Using properties of absolute values and that  $r > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\frac{a_1}{r}, \frac{a_2}{r}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{r}\right) &= \left(\left|\frac{a_1}{r} - \frac{a_2}{r}\right|, \left|\frac{a_2}{r} - \frac{a_3}{r}\right|, \dots, \left|\frac{a_n}{r} - \frac{a_1}{r}\right|\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{|a_1 - a_2|}{r}, \frac{|a_2 - a_3|}{r}, \dots, \frac{|a_n - a_1|}{r}\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{b_1}{r}, \frac{b_2}{r}, \dots, \frac{b_n}{r}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In words, dividing each integer in a list by a common factor and then applying  $f$  has the same effect as applying  $f$  and then dividing each integer in the resulting list by that same common factor. Applying this fact repeatedly, it follows that if for some  $m \geq 1$  we have  $f^m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ , then

$$f^m\left(\frac{a_1}{r}, \frac{a_2}{r}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{r}\right) = \left(\frac{c_1}{r}, \frac{c_2}{r}, \dots, \frac{c_n}{r}\right)$$

Since  $r \neq 0$ ,  $c_k = 0$  if and only if  $\frac{c_k}{r} = 0$ , and this is true for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . This means  $f^m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is the list of all 0s if and only if  $f^m\left(\frac{a_1}{r}, \frac{a_2}{r}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{r}\right)$  is the list of all 0s. This completes the proof of the fact.

We established earlier that if  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth, then the integers in  $f(a, b, c)$  are all even. To use the above fact, we need a way of keeping track of the number of common factors of 2 among the integers in  $f(a, b, c)$ .

To help with this, define a function  $E$  on the nonzero integers by  $E(a) = r$  where  $r$  is the largest power of 2 that is a divisor of  $a$ . For example,  $E(12) = 4$  since 4 is a divisor of 12, but 8 is not and neither is any higher power of 2. Also,  $E(n) = 1$  for any odd number  $n$  since  $2^0 = 1$  is the largest power of 2 that divides any odd number.

Here are three features of the function  $E$  that we will use. Their proofs are left as an exercise.

(F1)  $\frac{a}{E(a)}$  is an odd integer.

(F2) If  $r$  is a power of 2 and  $\frac{a}{r}$  is an odd integer, then  $r = E(a)$ .

(F3)  $E(a) = E(-a) = E(|a|)$ .

Suppose  $L = (a, b, c)$  is smooth and that  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are all even and not all 0. We let  $r = \min\{E(a), E(b), E(c)\}$  and set  $K = \left(\frac{a}{r}, \frac{b}{r}, \frac{c}{r}\right)$ . If some of  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are 0, then some of  $E(a)$ ,  $E(b)$ , and  $E(c)$  are undefined. In this situation,  $r$  is the minimum of the values that are defined.

Because of how  $r$  is chosen, we will have that  $\frac{a}{r}$ ,  $\frac{b}{r}$ , and  $\frac{c}{r}$  are all integers. Also, since  $r = E(a)$  or  $r = E(b)$  or  $r = E(c)$ , at least one integer in  $K$  must be odd by F1. We are assuming that  $L$  is smooth, so Fact 2 implies that  $K$  is smooth as well. Thus,  $K$  is a smooth list with at least one odd integer, which means that all three of the integers in  $K$  must be odd by Fact 1. By F2, this means  $E(a) = E(b) = E(c)$ . We have established the following: If  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth with  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  all even and not all 0, then  $E(a) = E(b) = E(c)$ .

Next, suppose  $L = (a, b, c)$  is smooth and that  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are all odd. We want to prove that  $a = c$ . To do this, we will suppose  $a \neq c$  and deduce a contradiction. Since  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are all odd,  $f(L) = (|a - b|, |b - c|, |c - a|)$  has all even integers and since  $a \neq c$ ,  $|c - a| \neq 0$ . Also,  $L$  is smooth, so  $f(L)$  is smooth. From the previous paragraph, this means  $E(|a - b|) = E(|b - c|) = E(|c - a|)$ . By F3 above,  $E(a - b) = E(b - c) = E(c - a)$ . Let this common value be  $r$ . Then by F1,  $\frac{a - b}{r}$  and  $\frac{b - c}{r}$  are both some odd integer  $m$ , so  $\frac{a - b}{r} + \frac{b - c}{r} = 2m$ . Then

$$\frac{a - c}{r} = \frac{a - b}{r} + \frac{b - c}{r} = 2m,$$

so  $a - c = 2rm$ . Therefore,  $2r$  is a divisor of  $a - c$ . Since  $r$  is a power of 2, so is  $2r$ , and this means  $E(a - c) \geq 2r > r$ . However,  $E(a - c) = r$ , so this is a contradiction. We are forced to conclude that our assumption  $a \neq c$  is false, implying  $a = c$ . By a similar argument, it can be shown that  $b = c$ , so  $a = b = c$ .

We have now established the following: If  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth with  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  all odd, then  $a = b = c$ .

We now return to (and finish) the case when  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth with  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  all even.

Suppose again that  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth and that  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are all even. If  $a = b = c = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, we know  $E(a) = E(b) = E(c) = r$ , so  $\frac{a}{r}$ ,  $\frac{b}{r}$ , and  $\frac{c}{r}$  are all odd. Since  $\left(\frac{a}{r}, \frac{b}{r}, \frac{c}{r}\right)$  is also smooth,  $\frac{a}{r} = \frac{b}{r} = \frac{c}{r}$ , from which it follows that  $a = b = c$ .

Therefore, if  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth, then  $a = b = c$ .

4. You may be getting the idea that keeping track of the parity of the elements in a list is of great importance in this problem. In the previous part, we showed that if  $(a, b, c)$  is smooth, then the integers in  $f(a, b, c)$  are all even.

The critical observation of this and the next part is that if  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $c$ , and  $d$  are any positive integers, then there is some  $m$  for which the integers in  $f^m(a, b, c, d)$  are all even. If you

are familiar with *modular arithmetic*, you may be able to streamline most of the upcoming work. However, this solution will not assume any such knowledge.

For a list  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  of nonnegative integers, define

$$g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (a_1 + a_2, a_2 + a_3, a_3 + a_4, \dots, a_{n-1} + a_n, a_n + a_1).$$

For  $m \geq 2$ , we define  $g^m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  to be the list attained from  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  by applying  $g$  repeatedly  $m$  times.

The function  $g$  looks similar to  $f$ , but it lacks absolute values and involves addition rather than subtraction. While  $g$  and  $f$  are genuinely different functions,  $g$  can be used to keep track of the parity of the integers in lists produced by applying  $f$ . More precisely, suppose  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  and  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  are lists of nonnegative integers with the property that for each  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $a_k$  and  $b_k$  have the same parity. If we set  $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$  and  $g(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ , then for each  $k$  with  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $c_k$  and  $d_k$  have the same parity as well. To see this, observe that for  $k \leq n$ , we have  $c_k = |a_k - a_{k+1}|$  and  $d_k = b_k + b_{k+1}$  (where we take the convention that  $a_{n+1} = a_1$  and  $b_{n+1} = b_1$ ). If  $c_k = a_k - a_{k+1}$ , then  $c_k + d_k = (a_k + b_k) - (a_{k+1} - b_{k+1})$ . By the assumptions on  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  and  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ ,  $a_k + b_k$  and  $a_{k+1} - b_{k+1}$  are both even, so  $c_k + d_k$  is even. This means  $c_k$  and  $d_k$  must have the same parity. Similarly, if  $c_k = a_{k+1} - a_k$ , then  $c_k$  and  $d_k$  have the same parity.

The above paragraph shows that the integers in  $g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  have the same parities as the corresponding integers in  $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ . Applying the fact again, we have that the integers in  $g^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  have the same parities as the corresponding integers in  $f^2(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ . This can be repeated to get that the integers in  $g^m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  have the same parities as the corresponding integers in  $f^m(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  for all  $m \geq 2$ .

We can use this to prove that the integers in  $f^4(a, b, c, d)$  are all even for any nonnegative integers  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$ . Consider an arbitrary list  $(a, b, c, d)$  of four nonnegative integers and compute  $g^4(a, b, c, d)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &g^4(a, b, c, d) \\ &= g^3(a + b, b + c, c + d, d + a) \\ &= g^2(a + 2b + c, b + 2c + d, c + 2d + a, d + 2a + b) \\ &= g(a + 3b + 3c + d, b + 3c + 3d + a, c + 3d + 3a + b, d + 3a + 3b + c) \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to

$$(2a + 4b + 6c + 4d, 2b + 4c + 6d + 4a, 2c + 4d + 6a + 4b, 2d + 4a + 6b + 4c).$$

While this could be seen as a bit of a mess, the important thing to notice is that every integer in  $g^4(a, b, c, d)$  is even. By the discussion above, this means every integer in  $f^4(a, b, c, d)$  is even. Finally, recall from part (b) that if  $a, b, c$ , and  $d$  are all either 0 or 1, then every integer in  $f^4(a, b, c, d)$  is either 0 or 1. Hence, every integer in  $f^4(a, b, c, d)$  must be equal to 0 since 1 is odd. That is,  $f^4(a, b, c, d) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ , so  $(a, b, c, d)$  is smooth.

5. We can solve this problem by putting together several ideas that have come up in previous parts.

This proof is formalizing the following idea, which can be observed if you apply  $f$  repeatedly to an arbitrary list of four positive integers: After at most four applications, all numbers in the resulting list will have a common factor of 2. Also, the largest integer in the resulting list will be no larger than the largest integer in the original list. Using Fact 2 from the solution to part (c), the common factor of 2 can be divided out and we will have “reduced” to a list whose largest integer is strictly smaller than the largest integer in the original list. Applying  $f$  at most four more times, we can “reduce” again. Eventually, the integers in the list will have a common factor so large that when it is factored out, the remaining integers are all either 0 or 1. At this point, part (d) can be applied.

As mentioned, the final observation we will need is that for a list  $L$  of nonnegative integers, the largest integer in  $f(L)$  is no larger than the largest integer in  $L$ . In other words, the largest integer in  $f(L)$  could be the same as the largest integer in  $L$ , but it cannot be bigger. This is because the largest integer in  $f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  is equal to the largest difference between two adjacent integers in  $(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$  (where  $a_1$  and  $a_n$  are considered adjacent). The largest difference that can possibly occur between adjacent integers in  $L$  is equal to the largest integer in  $L$ . This occurs if a 0 happens to be adjacent to an occurrence of the largest integer in  $L$ . In this case, the largest integer in  $f(L)$  will be equal to the largest integer in  $L$ . Otherwise, the largest integer in  $f(L)$  is strictly smaller than the largest integer in  $L$ .

We now suppose  $(a, b, c, d)$  is a list of nonnegative integers that is *not* smooth. We will derive a contradiction from this assumption, thereby proving that all lists of four nonnegative integers are smooth.

If  $(a, b, c, d)$  is not smooth, then  $f^4(a, b, c, d)$  is not smooth either. From part (d),  $f^4(a, b, c, d)$  consists of only even integers, say  $f^4(a, b, c, d) = (2a', 2b', 2c', 2d')$ . By the fact in part (c),  $(a', b', c', d')$  is smooth if and only if  $(2a', 2b', 2c', 2d')$  is smooth, and so  $(a', b', c', d')$  is not smooth since  $(2a', 2b', 2c', 2d')$  is not smooth. We also know that the largest integer among  $a, b, c, d$  is at least as large as the largest integer among  $2a', 2b', 2c', 2d'$ . This means the largest integer among  $a, b, c, d$  is strictly larger than the largest among  $a', b', c', d'$ .

We have shown that if there is a list  $(a, b, c, d)$  of nonnegative integers that fails to be smooth, then there is a list  $(a', b', c', d')$  that fails to be smooth *and* its largest integer is smaller than the largest integer in  $(a, b, c, d)$ . This fact can be applied to  $(a', b', c', d')$  to get another list  $(a'', b'', c'', d'')$  that fails to be smooth but has a smaller largest integer than  $(a', b', c', d')$ . Since the largest integer in these lists keeps getting smaller, we must eventually get a list whose largest integer is 1 and is not smooth. In part (d), we showed that no such list exists. This gives the contradiction we sought.

In other words, every list  $(a, b, c, d)$  of four nonnegative integers is smooth.