



Problem of the Month

Solution to Problem 4: January 2023

- (a) Since a and b are positive integers and c is larger than both of them, the smallest value that c can take is $c = 2$. The possible values of c are therefore $c = 2$, $c = 3$, and so on up to $c = n + 1$.

The only triple in S with the property that $c = 2$ is $(1, 1, 2)$, so there is one triple with $c = 2$. The triples in S with $c = 3$ are $(1, 1, 3)$, $(1, 2, 3)$, $(2, 1, 3)$, and $(2, 2, 3)$, so there are four triples with $c = 3$.

In general, if $c = r$, then a and b can both be any integer from 1 through $r - 1$ inclusive. Thus, there are $(r - 1) \times (r - 1) = (r - 1)^2$ triples in S with $c = r$. Since r ranges from 2 through $n + 1$, there are exactly

$$1^2 + 2^2 + 3^2 + 4^2 + \cdots + (n - 1)^2 + n^2 = p_2(n)$$

triples in S .

- (b) We will now count the triples in S by considering them according to the number of distinct integers that occur in the triple. For example, there are two distinct integers in $(1, 1, 2)$ and three distinct integers in $(1, 5, 7)$.

If (a, b, c) is in S , then there are at most three distinct integers in the triple (since there are only three integers in total). As well, since $a < c$, the integers cannot all be equal. Therefore, there are either two distinct integers or three distinct integers in (a, b, c) .

Suppose x , y , and z are three distinct integers between 1 and $n + 1$ inclusive. Since they are distinct, one of them is the largest. Assuming z is the largest, the triples (x, y, z) and (y, x, z) are both in S , and these are the only triples in S that contain the integers x , y , and z . Therefore, for every way to choose three distinct integers between 1 and $n + 1$ inclusive, there are two triples in S . This observation means there are $2 \binom{n+1}{3}$ triples in S with three distinct integers in them.

Now suppose that x and y are two distinct integers with $x < y$. Then the only triple in S that contains exactly the integers x and y is (x, x, y) . Thus, for every two distinct integers between 1 and $n + 1$ inclusive, there is exactly one triple in S that contains exactly those two integers. Therefore, there are $\binom{n+1}{2}$ triples in S with two distinct integers in them.

Therefore, the number of elements in S is $\binom{n+1}{2} + 2 \binom{n+1}{3}$.

From part (a),

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2(n) &= \binom{n+1}{2} + 2\binom{n+1}{3} \\
&= \frac{(n+1)!}{2!(n-1)!} + 2\frac{(n+1)!}{3!(n-2)!} \\
&= \frac{(n+1)n}{2} + \frac{2(n+1)n(n-1)}{6} \\
&= n(n+1)\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{n-1}{3}\right) \\
&= n(n+1)\left(\frac{3}{6} + \frac{2(n-1)}{6}\right) \\
&= \frac{n(n+1)(3+2n-2)}{6} \\
&= \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}
\end{aligned}$$

- (c) Fix a positive integer n . Using the idea from parts (a) and (b), we let S_k be the set of ordered lists of $k+1$ positive integers $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})$ with the property that each integer x_i is between 1 and $n+1$ inclusive and x_{k+1} is larger than every other integer in the list. A list of the form $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})$ is called a $(k+1)$ -tuple.

As in the case with $k=2$, it is not possible for a $(k+1)$ -tuple in S_k to have $x_{k+1} = 1$. This is because the other integers are positive and x_{k+1} must be the largest integer in the list (it cannot be “tied” for the largest). The possible values of x_{k+1} are 2 through $n+1$.

For a fixed value of x_{k+1} , say $x_{k+1} = r$, if $(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_k, x_{k+1})$ is in S_k , then x_1 through x_k can each be any positive integer less than r , of which there are $r-1$. Therefore, the number of $(k+1)$ -tuples in S_k with $x_{k+1} = r$ is $(r-1)^k$. The possible values of r are 2 through $n+1$, so we have that the number of elements in S_k is

$$1^k + 2^k + 3^k + \dots + (n-1)^k + n^k = p_k(n)$$

Following the reasoning of part (b), we now want to count the elements in S_k a different way. Since x_{k+1} is the largest integer in the $(k+1)$ -tuple, there are at least two distinct integers in every $(k+1)$ -tuple in S_k . As well, there are at most $k+1$ distinct integers in every $(k+1)$ -tuple. Suppose we have chosen r distinct positive integers between 1 and $n+1$ inclusive with $2 \leq r \leq k+1$. We would like to count the $(k+1)$ -tuples in S_k that contain exactly those r integers. For example, suppose $k=4$ and $r=3$. We need to count the number of 5-tuples that use exactly three given integers with the largest integer occurring only in the rightmost position. Suppose the largest integer is C and the other two are A and B . The 5-tuples in S_k are

$$\begin{aligned}
&(A, A, A, B, C), \quad (A, A, B, A, C), \quad (A, B, A, A, C), \quad (B, A, A, A, C), \quad (B, B, B, A, C), \\
&(B, B, A, B, C), \quad (B, A, B, B, C), \quad (A, B, B, B, C), \quad (A, A, B, B, C), \quad (A, B, A, B, C), \\
&(A, B, B, A, C), \quad (B, A, A, B, C), \quad (B, A, B, A, C), \quad (B, B, A, A, C)
\end{aligned}$$

and so there are 14 5-tuples in S_k with exactly those three integers. This means that there are $14 \binom{n+1}{3}$ 5-tuples in S_k that have exactly three distinct integers in them. The important thing to notice here is that the constant 14 does not depend on n . We could do a similar count to see how many 9-tuples there are in S_8 with exactly five distinct integers. The combinatorics might be a bit tedious, but in the end, we would find that the number of 9-tuples in S_8 with exactly five distinct integers is some multiple of $\binom{n+1}{5}$ where the multiplier does not depend on n .

Putting this together, there must be some constants a_2, \dots, a_{k+1} so that

$$p_k(n) = a_2 \binom{n+1}{2} + a_3 \binom{n+1}{3} + \dots + a_k \binom{n+1}{k} + a_{k+1} \binom{n+1}{k+1}$$

where each coefficient a_r is equal to the number of $(k+1)$ -tuples in S_k that contain exactly a fixed set of r distinct integers.

Put differently, suppose R is a set of r distinct positive integers. Then a_r is the number of $(k+1)$ -tuples that satisfy the following three conditions: every integer in the $(k+1)$ -tuple comes from R , every integer in R occurs at least once in the $(k+1)$ -tuple, and the largest integer in R occurs exactly once and is in the rightmost position.

Before moving on, we make one final observation. With the convention that $\binom{u}{v} = 0$ when $u < v$, the equation above makes sense even when $n+1$ is smaller than the bottom number in the binomial coefficient. For example, if $k = 5$ and $n = 2$, then the term $a_4 \binom{n+1}{4} = a_4 \binom{3}{4}$ is counting the number of 6-tuples consisting of four distinct integers between 1 and $n+1 = 3$ inclusive. There is no way to choose four distinct integers from the list 1, 2, 3, so there should be zero such 6-tuples, and the fact that $\binom{3}{4} = 0$ records this observation.

(d) From part (c), we have that

$$p_3(n) = a_2 \binom{n+1}{2} + a_3 \binom{n+1}{3} + a_4 \binom{n+1}{4}$$

for some constants a_2, a_3 , and a_4 . Using that $p_3(1) = 1^3 = 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= p_3(1) \\ &= a_2 \binom{1+1}{2} + a_3 \binom{1+1}{3} + a_4 \binom{1+1}{4} \\ &= a_2 \binom{2}{2} + a_3 \binom{2}{3} + a_4 \binom{2}{4} \\ &= a_2 + 0 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so $a_2 = 1$. With $n = 2$, we have $p_3(2) = 1^3 + 2^3 = 9$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 9 &= p_2(2) \\ &= \binom{2+1}{2} + a_3 \binom{2+1}{3} + a_4 \binom{2+1}{4} \\ &= \binom{3}{2} + a_3 \binom{3}{3} + a_4 \binom{3}{4} \\ &= 3 + a_3 + 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so $a_3 = 6$. Finally, using $n = 3$, we have $p_3(3) = 1^3 + 2^3 + 3^3 = 36$, so

$$\begin{aligned} 36 &= p_3(3) \\ &= \binom{4}{2} + 6 \binom{4}{3} + a_4 \binom{4}{4} \\ &= 6 + 6 \times 4 + a_4 \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $a_4 = 6$. Recall that a_4 is equal to the number of four-tuples in S_3 consisting of a fixed set of four distinct integers. Indeed, the largest integer must go in the rightmost position, and there are 6 ways to arrange the other three integers, so $a_4 = 6$ makes sense from a combinatorial perspective.

We now have shown that

$$\begin{aligned} p_3(n) &= \binom{n+1}{2} + 6 \binom{n+1}{3} + 6 \binom{n+1}{4} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)n}{2} + \frac{6(n+1)n(n-1)}{6} + \frac{6(n+1)n(n-1)(n-2)}{24} \end{aligned}$$

and after some simplification, we get that

$$p_3(n) = \frac{n^2(n+1)^2}{4}$$

We can approach $p_4(n)$ similar to how $p_3(n)$ was approached above. We start with

$$p_4(n) = a_2 \binom{n+1}{2} + a_3 \binom{n+1}{3} + a_4 \binom{n+1}{4} + a_5 \binom{n+1}{5}$$

and then use that $p_4(1) = 1$, $p_4(2) = 1^4 + 2^4 = 17$, $p_4(3) = 1^4 + 2^4 + 3^4 = 98$, and $p_4(4) = 1^4 + 2^4 + 3^4 + 4^4 = 354$ to solve for a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , and a_5 . After doing this, we find that $a_2 = 1$, $a_3 = 14$, $a_4 = 36$, and $a_5 = 24$. Therefore, after some simplification, we get

$$\begin{aligned} p_4(n) &= \binom{n+1}{2} + 14 \binom{n+1}{3} + 36 \binom{n+1}{4} + 24 \binom{n+1}{5} \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)(3n^2+3n-1)}{30} \end{aligned}$$

(e) Using that $p_5(n) = c_0 + c_1n + c_2n^2 + c_3n^3 + c_4n^4 + c_5n^5 + c_6n^6$, we can find a general expression for $p_5(n) - p_5(n-1)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
n^5 &= p_5(n) - p_5(n-1) \\
&= c_0 + c_1n + c_2n^2 + c_3n^3 + c_4n^4 + c_5n^5 + c_6n^6 \\
&\quad - (c_0 + c_1(n-1) + c_2(n-1)^2 + c_3(n-1)^3 + c_4(n-1)^4 + c_5(n-1)^5 + c_6(n-1)^6) \\
&= c_0 + c_1n + c_2n^2 + c_3n^3 + c_4n^4 + c_5n^5 + c_6n^6 \\
&\quad - c_0 - c_1(n-1) - c_2(n^2 - 2n + 1) - c_3(n^3 - 3n^2 + 3n - 1) \\
&\quad - c_4(n^4 - 4n^3 + 6n^2 - 4n + 1) - c_5(n^5 - 5n^4 + 10n^3 - 10n^2 + 5n - 1) \\
&\quad - c_6(n^6 - 6n^5 + 15n^4 - 20n^3 + 15n^2 - 6n + 1)
\end{aligned}$$

and after collecting like terms, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
n^5 &= (c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - c_4 + c_5 - c_6) + (2c_2 - 3c_3 + 4c_4 - 5c_5 + 6c_6)n \\
&\quad + (3c_3 - 6c_4 + 10c_5 - 15c_6)n^2 + (4c_4 - 10c_5 + 20c_6)n^3 \\
&\quad + (5c_5 - 15c_6)n^4 + 6c_6n^5
\end{aligned}$$

We can now equate coefficients to get the system of equations

$$\begin{aligned}
6c_6 &= 1 \\
5c_5 - 15c_6 &= 0 \\
4c_4 - 10c_5 + 20c_6 &= 0 \\
3c_3 - 6c_4 + 10c_5 - 15c_6 &= 0 \\
2c_2 - 3c_3 + 4c_4 - 5c_5 + 6c_6 &= 0 \\
c_1 - c_2 + c_3 - c_4 + c_5 - c_6 &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

From the first equation, we get $c_6 = \frac{1}{6}$. Substituting this into the second equation gives $5c_5 - 15 \times \frac{1}{6} = 0$ so $c_5 = \frac{1}{2}$. Continuing this way, we get that $c_4 = \frac{5}{12}$, $c_3 = 0$, $c_2 = -\frac{1}{12}$, and $c_1 = 0$. Therefore

$$p_5(n) = c_0 - \frac{1}{12}n^2 + \frac{5}{12}n^4 + \frac{1}{2}n^5 + \frac{1}{6}n^6$$

To solve for c_0 , we can use that $p_5(1) = 1$ to get the equation

$$1 = c_0 - \frac{1}{12} + \frac{5}{12} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6} = c_0 + 1$$

which means $c_0 = 0$. Finally, we can rearrange $p_5(n)$ into a nicer form

$$\begin{aligned}
p_5(n) &= -\frac{1}{12}n^2 + \frac{5}{12}n^4 + \frac{1}{2}n^5 + \frac{1}{6}n^6 \\
&= \frac{-n^2 + 5n^4 + 6n^5 + 2n^6}{12} \\
&= \frac{n^2(n+1)^2(2n^2 + 2n - 1)}{12}
\end{aligned}$$

- (f) Fix a positive integer k and consider the function $p_k(n)$. We observed earlier that $p_k(n)$ is a polynomial in n . While $p_k(n)$ is designed to output $1^k + 2^k + \dots + n^k$ when n is a positive integer, there is nothing to stop us from “symbolically” evaluating $p_k(n)$ when n is not an integer. For example, even though $p_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)$ does not have the same meaning as $p_1(n)$ when n is a positive integer (we cannot “add together the first $\frac{1}{4}$ positive integers”), we can still substitute $\frac{1}{4}$ into the formula for p_1 to get $p_1\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = \frac{\frac{1}{4} \times \frac{5}{4}}{2} = \frac{5}{32}$.

Now consider the function $f_k(n) = p_k(n) - p_k(n-1) - n^k$ where n is allowed to be any real number. The function $f_k(n)$ is the sum/difference of three polynomials, so it is itself a polynomial. Since $p_k(n) - p_k(n-1) = n^k$ for all positive integers n , n is a root of $f_k(n)$ for all positive integers n . By the fact in the hint, a polynomial with infinitely many roots must be the constant zero function, so $p_k(n) - p_k(n-1) - n^k = 0$ for all real numbers n .

With $n = 1$, we get that $p_k(1) - p_k(0) = 1^k = 1$, but since $p_k(1) = 1$, we have that $1 - p_k(0) = 1$, so $p_k(0) = 0$. Similarly, by considering $n = 0$, we get that $p_k(0) - p_k(-1) = 0^k$, and since $p_k(0) = 0$, we have $0 - p_k(-1) = 0$, so $p_k(-1) = 0$ as well. We have shown that 0 and -1 are roots of the polynomial $p_k(n)$, which shows that n and $n+1$ are factors of $p_k(n)$. This means $n(n+1)$ is a factor of $p_k(n)$.

We now suppose that k is even, which means $n^k = (-n)^k$ for all real numbers n . From above, we have that $p_k(0) = p_k(-1) = 0$. Considering $p_k(n) - p_k(n-1) = n^k$ at $n = -1$, we have that $p_k(-1) - p_k(-2) = (-1)^k$, and since k is even and $p_k(-1) = 0$, we have $-p_k(-2) = 1^k$ or $p_k(-2) = -1^k$.

Next, we use $p_k(n) - p_k(n-1) = n^k$ with $n = -2$ to get $p_k(-2) - p_k(-3) = (-2)^k = 2^k$, and since $p_k(-2) = -1^k$, this means $-p_k(-3) = 1^k + 2^k$ or $p_k(-3) = -(1^k + 2^k)$.

Continuing this way, we have $p_k(-3) - p_k(-4) = (-3)^k = 3^k$, so $-1^k - 2^k - p_k(-4) = 3^k$, and so $p_k(-4) = -(1^k + 2^k + 3^k)$. We have now shown that $p_k(-n) = -p_k(n-1)$ for the positive integers $n = 0, n = 1, n = 2, n = 3$, and $n = 4$. This pattern continues. It can be proven using mathematical induction that $p_k(-n) = -p_k(n-1)$ for all positive integers n .

This means that $p_k(-n) + p_k(n-1) = 0$ for every non-negative integer. Therefore, the polynomial $p_k(-n) + p_k(n-1)$ has infinitely many roots, and so must be equal to 0 for every real number n .

Taking $n = \frac{1}{2}$, we get $p_k\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) + p_k\left(\frac{1}{2} - 1\right) = 0$ which simplifies to $2p_k\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0$.

Therefore, $-\frac{1}{2}$ is a root of $p_k(n)$ when k is even. Thus, $p_k(n)$ has a factor of $n + \frac{1}{2}$, which is equivalent to having a factor of $2n + 1 = 2\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)$.